



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,661	10/30/2001	Thomas A. Wucherer	TRIRG-08851US0	4969
80364	7590	01/26/2009		
TRIRIGA Inc. 6700 Via Austi Parkway Las Vegas, NV 89119			EXAMINER ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/021,661	WUCHERER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NEVEEN ABEL JALIL	2165	

  

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NEVEEN ABEL JALIL. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Juan Rodriguez (Attorney of Record). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: proposed amendments.

Identification of prior art discussed: Loveland and McClendon.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative requested the interview to present amendments to the claims to remove ambiguity and clearly define the intelligent CAD object and to state the object arrangement is not necessarily hierarchical as presented in the cited prior art. Applicant's comments and amendments will be taken into consideration once filled.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Neveen Abel-Jalil/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2165	
--	--